



ROSENBERG
COMPETITION

XIII International Commercial Arbitration MOOT COMPETITION

MOOT RULES



BABI
МИНЭКОНОМРАЗВИТИЯ РОССИИ



Торгово-промышленная палата
Российской Федерации



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREAMBLE.....	3
I. GENERAL PROVISIONS	5
II. ORGANIZATION OF THE COMPETITION	5
III. TEAM COMPOSITION	8
IV. COACHES	8
V. REGISTRATON FOR COMPETITION	9
VI. JURY.....	9
VII. MOOT CASE.....	10
VIII. PREPARING AND SUBMISSION OF MEMORANDA.....	10
IX. ORAL HEARINGS.....	12
X. DETERMINING THE RESULTS OF THE FIRST (QUALIFYING) ROUND	13
EVALUATION OF MEMORANDA.....	14
EVALUATION OF PLEADINGS IN ORAL HEARINGS.....	14
XI. DETERMINING THE RESULTS OF THE QUARTERFINAL, SEMIFINAL AND FINAL ROUNDS	16
XII. AWARDS.....	17
XIII. LOGISTICS OF THE COMPETITION	18
CONTACT INFORMATION	18

PREAMBLE

Rosenberg M.G. International Commercial Arbitration Moot Competition (hereinafter – “the Competition”, “Rosenberg Arbitration Moot”), launched in 2014, is the first student competition in the Russian Federation on arbitration. The Competition is also the first one in Russia to be held in four languages: Russian, English, French, and Mandarin. The Competition's trilingual format allows competitors to gain skills in preparing memoranda and oral pleadings before arbitrators in foreign languages.

Since international commercial arbitration is the most effective way to settle commercial disputes around the world, the number of training courses and disciplines taught in various Universities is increasing as well as the number of moot court competitions. Students within the framework of model arbitration proceedings can try their hand, get the necessary skills and gain practical experience of pleading in international arbitration.

In recent years, the student moot-court movement has successfully developed, and Kutafin Moscow State University of Law, Arbitration Association, Russian Arbitration center at the Russian Institute of modern arbitration, Ural State Law University, MGIMO, and other universities also held competitions similar to Rosenberg Arbitration Moot. This indicates the demand for arbitration topics and students' growing desire to gain practical skills through participation in competitive processes of international and domestic arbitration.

Rosenberg Arbitration Moot is aimed at encouraging the study of private international law, international arbitration law, civil and commercial law of foreign countries, civil and business law, as well as at promoting the activities of the International Commercial Arbitration Court at the Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the Russian Federation (hereinafter – “ICAC at the CCI RF”).

The ICAC at the CCI RF is an independent permanent arbitration institution, which carries out, in accordance with the Law of the Russian Federation of July 7, 1993. No. 5338-1 (ed. December 25, 2018) “On International Commercial Arbitration” administration of international commercial arbitration. This year, 2022, the ICAC at the CCI RF celebrates 90 years of its activity.

The participants of the Competition have the opportunity to get acquainted with the peculiarities of international dispute resolution in this world-famous arbitration center, member of the International Federation of Commercial Arbitration Institutions (IFCAI). During the interaction of teams from different Russian and foreign universities, participants have the opportunity to exchange knowledge and skills during competitive performances, receive feedback on their pleadings from practicing arbitrators and arbitration lawyers, and try out their future profession.

Pursuant the Law of the Russian Federation of July 7, 1993 № 5338-1 “On international commercial arbitration” (as amended on December 25, 2018), by agreement of the parties disputes arising of contractual and other civil relations in the course of foreign trade and other types of international economic relations, including disputes involving individuals, may be submitted to the ICAC of the CCI RF if the commercial enterprise of at least one party is located abroad, or if any place where a significant part of the obligations arising of the

relations of the parties is to be performed, or the place with which the subject of the dispute is most closely connected is located abroad, as well as disputes in connection with the implementation of foreign investments in the territory of the Russian Federation or Russian investments abroad. Such disputes are settled by the international commercial arbitration in accordance with the Rules of arbitration of the international commercial disputes.

Disputes arising of contracts for the international sale of goods annually account for 70% of the total number of disputes settles by the ICAC at the CCI RF. Disputes arising of mixed contracts of construction contract with the supply and adjustment of equipment, distribution, commercial concession and other mixed contracts include elements of international sale of goods. Therefore, Rosenberg Arbitration Moot is dedicated to a crucial topic – international sale of goods, and participation in the Competition allows students to improve their skills and competitiveness.

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. **Rosenberg M.G. International Commercial Arbitration Moot Competition** is a student competition held in the format of model arbitration proceedings under the Rules of arbitration of the international commercial disputes (Annex 2 to the order of the CCI RF № 6 dated January 11, 2017 <http://mkas.tpprf.ru/ru/materials/>).
2. Full-time undergraduate and graduate students of any Russian or foreign institution of higher education are eligible to take part in the Competition.
3. The Rosenberg Arbitration Moot Competition is held in four languages: Russian (Russian section), English (English section), French (French section), and Chinese (Chinese section).
4. The results of the Competition are summarized for each section separately.
5. The aim of the Competition is to develop the following skills:
 - representing the interests of the parties and convincing the arbitrators of the correctness of their arguments in written memoranda and during oral hearings;
 - determination of the competence of the arbitration court to settle the dispute;
 - interpretation and application of law and rules of law in the proposed case;
 - formation and presentation of the legal position in the form of an exchange of pleadings and oral presentations in Russian, English, French, and Mandarin.
6. Participation in the Competition is free of charge. Transportation and stay in Moscow costs are at the participants' own account.

II. ORGANIZATION OF THE COMPETITION

7. The Competition is organized by the Russian Foreign Trade Academy of the Ministry of Economic Development of the Russian Federation (hereinafter – “RFTA”) and the Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the Russian Federation (hereinafter – “CCI RF”). The Competition is held in cooperation with the ICAC at the CCI RF and its regional branches and is supported by ICC Russia (the National Committee of the International Chamber of Commerce in Russia), as well as by the Competition partners.
8. The Competition is prepared and carried out by the Organizing Committee consisting of following members:

Scientific Director and founder of the Competition:

Nina G. Vilкова, Doctor of Legal Sciences, Full Professor, Honored lawyer of the Russian Federation, member of the Presidium and arbitrator of the ICAC at the CCI RF, professor of the Department of private international law of the RFTA

Chairman of the Organizing Committee:

Alexander S. Komarov, Doctor of Legal Sciences, Full Professor, Honored lawyer of the Russian Federation, member of the Presidium and arbitrator of the ICAC at the CCI RF

Deputy Chairman of the Organizing Committee:

Dmitry N. Podshibyakin, Director of the Center for Arbitration and Mediation of the CCI RF, member of the Presidium and arbitrator of the ICAC at the CCI RF

Executive Director of the Competition:

Irina N. Lukyanova, Head of the Department of Procedural Law of the RFTA, arbitrator of the ICAC at the CCI RF,

Members of the Organizing Committee:

Olga L. Solomatina, Director for development of the RFTA

Anton G. Benov, Deputy Director of the Department for Development and Regulation of Legal Aid and Legal Services of the Ministry of Justice of Russia

Eleva V. Sitkareva, associate professor, head of the department of international private law of the RFTA, arbitrator of the ICAC at the CCI RF

Lyudmila B. Zabelova, PhD in Law, PhD in Psychology, Associate Professor, arbitrator of the ICAC at the CCI RF, professor of the Department of private international law of the RFTA

Ilie Z. Grecu, arbitrator of the ICAC at the CCI RF

Georgy S. Patulin, senior lecturer of the Department of theory and history of state and law of the RFTA

Aleksandr A. Dergachev, PhD student of the Department of Private International Law of the RFTA

Section curators:

Anton V. Aleksenko, curator of the Russian section, candidate of legal sciences, associate professor of the department of law and state theory and history of the RFTA

Svetlana V. Ustinova, curator of the English section, associate professor, head of the English language department of the International Law Faculty of the RFTA

Yulia V. Drozdova, curator of the French section, candidate of philological sciences, associate professor, head of the French-Italian direction of the department of Romano-Germanic languages of the RFTA

Bayrmaa B. Chuldum, curator of the Chinese section, university teacher at the department of Oriental languages of the RFTA

Executive Secretaries:

Ksenia Y. Pugacheva, executive secretary of the Russian section, student of the RFTA

Arina S. Koposova, executive secretary of the English section, student of the RFTA

Maria A. Strekalova, executive secretary of the French and Mandarin sections, student of the RFTA

9. Each section of the Competition consists of two stages:

First stage: preparation and submission of the Claimant's and the Respondent's memoranda on the basis of the circumstances of the moot case.

Second stage: oral pleadings of the claimant and respondent teams before a panel of arbitrators and the evaluation by the panel of arbitrators of the Claimant and Respondent's memoranda.

The second stage is divided into following rounds:

the *first (qualifying)* round: pleadings of each team as a Claimant and Respondent before a panel of three arbitrators, based on the results of which teams are qualified for the quarterfinal round;

the *second (quarterfinal)* round: pleadings before a panel of three arbitrators, based on the results of which teams are qualified for the semifinal round. If there are less than 10 teams in a section, the quarterfinals in this section are not held;

the *third (semifinal)* round: pleadings before a panel of three arbitrators, based on the results of which teams are qualified for the final round,

the *final* round: pleadings before a panel of three arbitrators, based on the results of which the winners of the Competition are determined.

10. Dates and locations of the oral hearings of the second stage of the Competition:

Round	Dates	Location
Qualifying round	March 24, 2026	RFTA address: 6A Vorobyevskoye highway, Moscow
Quarterfinal	March 25, 2026	
Semifinal	March 25, 2026	
Final	March 27, 2026	Congress Center of the CCI RF address: 6/1 Ilyinka Street, building 1, Moscow

11. If carrying out of oral hearings of the second round of the Competition offline becomes impossible for objective reasons (for example, imposition of an appropriate prohibition by a public authority), by the decision of the organizers of the Competition, the oral hearings of any round of the second stage of the Competition may be held online via a web conference. In the event of changing the format of the Competition to online, the dates of the second stage of the Competition may be rescheduled.
12. The Award and Closing Ceremony of the Competition will take place on **March 27, 2026** at the Congress Center of the CCI RF.

III. TEAM COMPOSITION

13. Rosenberg M.G. Arbitration Moot is a team competition. A team shall consist of two to four members. Each team takes part only in one section of the Competition.
14. Each university including its branches in Russia may delegate up to three teams to take part in each section of the competition. A university may independently undertake an internal selection of three teams that will compete in each section of the Competition if more than three applications from that university and its branches in Russia were submitted. If the University does not determine the teams that will represent it in each of the sections, the first three teams that have completed the registration procedure in the respective section are considered to be registered.
15. The restrictions set forth in paragraph 14 of these Rules do not apply to student teams representing foreign universities or branches of Russian universities abroad.
16. Mixed teams consisting of students from different institutions of higher education are also eligible to take part in the Competition.
17. The final composition of the team shall be determined no later than the day of submission of Claimant's memorandum. At subsequent stages, changes in the composition of the teams are allowed only for a valid reason (illness, etc.) and with the consent of the Organizing Committee.
18. Participation in the Competition is certified by personal certificate of the participant of the Competition. Personal certificates of the participant of the Competition are provided only to registered participants.

IV. COACHES

19. Teams are entitled to prepare for the Competition under the guidance of a coach.
20. Each team can have no more than two coaches. The absence of a coach is not an obstacle for a team to participate in the Competition.
21. Teams may be coached by faculty members of higher education institutions, practicing lawyers, students who are not team members, and other individuals. Members of the Organizing Committee of the Competition are prohibited to coach any team.
22. The coach's (coaches') involvement in the team's work should be limited to general consultations on the methodology for conducting legal research, developing of a legal position and its presentation in memorandums and oral pleadings, the technique of writing memorandums and pleading in oral hearings.

23. Information about the coach (coaches) should be specified by the team when registering for the Competition. The team is obliged to notify the Organizing Committee of the Competition about the potential replacement of the coach no later than a month before the start of the second stage of the Competition.

V. REGISTRATON FOR COMPETITION

24. Registration of a team for participation in the relevant section of the Competition is carried out by filling out an online registration form on the Competition website <https://rosenberg.vavt.ru/>.
25. Registration opens on **November 15, 2025**.
26. The registration end date is **January 31, 2026**, at 17:00 (5:00 PM) Moscow time.
27. Each team member should give their consent to the processing of their personal data concurrently with registration.
28. The team's registration is deemed complete at the moment the final consent to the processing of personal data is sent. The deadline for providing consent to the processing of personal data may be extended with the permission of the Organizing Committee.
29. By submitting a registration form, all team members and their coaches express their consent to abide the rules set forth in these Rules.
30. Teams from the People's Republic of China may participate in the Chinese Section of the Competition upon the recommendation of the Guangzhou Arbitration Commission. Eight teams recommended for participation shall register and take part in the Chinese Section of the Competition in accordance with the rules set out in these Rules.

VI. JURY

31. The Jury of Competition consists of Russian and foreign practicing arbitrators, included in the list of arbitrators of international commercial, internal and corporate disputes of the ICAC at the CCI RF; arbitrators, included in the list of other Russian and foreign arbitration centres; lawyers, acting as arbitrators in foreign arbitration centres, or as representatives in arbitration disputes.
32. The Jury of the Competition is headed by Vadim V. Chubarov, the Vice-President of the CCI RF, Doctor of Law, ICAC at the CCI RF Presidium member and arbitrator.

33. The second-stage oral hearings are conducted by a jury of three arbitrators. The panel of arbitrators for each oral hearing of the Competition is determined by the Organizing Committee.
34. Members of the Jury (arbitrators in oral hearings of the second stage) evaluate the memoranda prepared by the teams (the Claimant's memorandum and the Respondent's memorandum), as well as team pleadings in oral hearings, by completing the score sheets.
35. To ensure impartiality and equal treatment of the parties, the coaches of the teams participating in the Competition are not allowed to be members of the Jury of the Competition.

VII. MOOT CASE

36. The moot case is to be published in Russian, English, French and Mandarin on **December 3, 2025** on the Competition website <https://rosenberg.vavt.ru/>.
37. The geographical names given in the moot case are genuine. All factual circumstances of the moot case, the names of the enterprises and the names of the persons mentioned in the case (with the exception of Y.Y. Okhlopkova) are fictitious. Any resemblance to real-world incidents, people, or documents is coincidental.
38. Once familiarized with the moot case, the registered teams, until **December 17, 2025**, can send clarifying questions regarding the factual and legal aspects of the moot case to the Organizing Committee at vavtroseberg@vavt.ru.
39. The Organizing Committee selects the clarifying questions of the teams, the answers to which it deems necessary for the teams' work on the moot business and publishes answers to these questions on the Competition website on **December 26, 2025**.
40. When preparing the Claimant's and Respondent's memoranda, the teams should proceed with clarifications on the moot case.

VIII. PREPARING AND SUBMISSION OF MEMORANDA

41. Each team shall prepare a Claimant's memorandum and a Respondent's memorandum.
42. In the Claimant's memorandum, the team should set out legal arguments in support of the claims set forth in the moot case. The team is not entitled to make any claims that were not set forth in the moot case.

43. The Respondent's memorandum should contain counterarguments in relation to the claims stated in the Claimant's memorandum.
44. Once memoranda have been submitted to the Organizing Committee, they are not subject to any alterations.

Submission of memoranda

45. The Claimant's memorandum shall be prepared and submitted to the Organizing Committee no later than **January 31, 2026**, 20:00 (8:00 PM) Moscow time.
46. After receiving the Claimant's memoranda from all competing teams, the Organizing committee invites teams' representatives on **February 1, 2026** at the drawing lots to determine the pairs of teams for oral hearings of the first (qualifying) round.
47. On **February 2, 2026**, the Organizing Committee sends to each team at the e-mail address of the contact person of the team a Claimant's memorandum, prepared by the team in pair in which the former team takes the position of the Respondent.
48. Based on the received Claimant's memorandum, each team prepares the Respondent's memorandum and no later than **March 3, 2026**, 20:00 (8:00 PM) Moscow time, sends it to the Claimant team at the e-mail of its contact person, as well as to the Organizing Committee.

Content of memoranda

49. The Claimant's and the Respondent's memoranda shall contain the following sections:
 - cover page;
 - table of contents;
 - brief summary of the factual circumstances;
 - competence of the jurisdiction of the arbitration panel and the choice of applicable law;
 - statement of legal position on the case, legal and factual justification of each claim;
 - conclusions and final position of the party including its claims;
 - bibliography.
50. The memorandum is not subject to evaluation if it contains only the text of the statement of claim or statement of defence set out in the moot case without the position of the party. The team that submitted such a memorandum is dismissed from further participation in the Competition.
51. The Claimant's and the Respondent's memoranda shall contain references only to evidence present in the moot case; reference to evidence not present in the moot case or new evidence is not allowed. The absence in the text of the contract or in other documents of the moot case of any data or information means that these data or

information do not matter for the moot case and/or there are no disputes between the parties on them.

52. The Claimant's and the Respondent's memoranda shall contain references to the applicable law, rules of law, doctrinal sources and case law on which the legal position of the party is based.
53. The use of the papers of professor M.G. Rosenberg in the preparation of the memoranda is considered while evaluating the memoranda.
54. When preparing memoranda, plagiarism, as well as the use of any AI-based programs or tools for generating text, data, or lists of sources, are strictly prohibited. It is also prohibited to use excerpts from the memoranda of other teams from the current or previous Competitions. A violation of the rules set out in this clause will result in disqualification from the Competition. The fact that different teams cite the same excerpts from judicial or arbitral decisions or doctrinal sources does not, in itself, constitute a violation of this clause. The Organizing Committee encourages participants and coaches to respect the intellectual property rights of other competitors and of the authors of articles and monographs.

Execution of memoranda

55. The cover page of the memorandum shall contain the university logo, the names of the team members who prepared the memorandum, the contact person of the team and his e-mail, and the name(s) of the team coach(es).
56. The Claimant's and the Respondent's memoranda must meet the following requirements:
 - the content of each memorandum should not exceed 25 pages (cover page, table of contents and bibliography are not included in the content);
 - text executed in font Times New Roman 12 pt, 1,5 line spacing;
 - left field – 3 cm, right field – 1.5 cm, upper and lower fields – 2 cm;
 - memoranda shall be submitted in *.pdf* format;
 - each content paragraph shall be numbered;
 - abbreviations are given in the text, footnotes are arranged page by page.

IX. ORAL HEARINGS

57. Each team is represented by two speakers in the oral hearings of the first (qualifying), quarterfinal, semifinal and final rounds.

58. Each team is given 30 minutes to present its position and 5 minutes for concluding remarks, members of each team distribute their own specified time between the two speakers, given the time required to speak to each of them, as well as the time required for the final word on the outcome of the hearing.
59. Prior to the oral hearings, the teams may agree on the schedule and order of pleadings of the Claimant's team and the Respondent's team. In the absence of agreement between the teams on the sequence of pleadings and the allocation of time, the decision on this is made by the arbitrators considering the total time limit.
60. The arbitrators are free to ask questions to the speakers both during the pleadings and after. The arbitrators may provide each team with additional time to answer the questions. Arbitrators' questions and teams' answers are calculated as extra time but cannot take more than 10 minutes for each party.
61. During the oral hearing, the participants may use materials of the moot case, memoranda, remarks made by them or graphical schemes. The use of computer equipment is allowed. All electronic devices for data transmission via Internet or other type of connection shall be switched off.
62. While pleading in oral hearings of quarterfinal, semifinal and final rounds, motivated derogation from the position and arguments stated in memoranda is allowed. However, the panel of arbitrators is free to critically evaluate the team's change of position, to reject unsubstantiated or unproven arguments of the party.
63. At the end of the oral hearing, the arbitrators may provide feedback on the pleadings of the parties.
64. Oral hearings are held in open session. The presence of members of the Organizing Committee, arbitrators and team members not participating in the oral hearings, coaches, students of RFTA and other universities, as well as other persons is allowed.
65. When evaluating oral hearings, references to the papers of Professor M. G. Rosenberg in the pleadings is considered.

X. DETERMINING THE RESULTS OF THE QUALIFYING ROUND

66. The final score of the team based on the results of the oral hearings of the first (qualifying) round (maximum 100 points) is made up of two components by summing the following indicators:
 - a) 50% of the final grade constitutes the evaluation of the Claimant's and the Respondent's memoranda made by the arbitrators;
 - b) 50% of the final score constitutes the evaluation of the oral performances of two team members in the first (qualifying) round as a Claimant and as a Respondent.

EVALUATION OF MEMORANDA

67. Those arbitrators who participated in the relevant first (qualifying) round oral hearing evaluate memoranda prepared by the team (Claimant's and Respondent's memoranda).
68. Each arbitrator evaluates each memorandum on a scale from 1 to 25 points (1-7 points – "unsatisfactory", 8-15 points – "satisfactory", 16-20 points – "good", 21-25 points – "excellent").
69. The total score for each memorandum is determined as the arithmetic average of the arbitrators' scores, and the total score of the team for preparing memoranda is defined as the sum of the score for the Claimant's memorandum and the Respondent's memorandum.
70. The arbitrators are free to evaluate the memoranda and act based on their inner conviction and independently from each other.
71. The following factors are considered when evaluating the memoranda:
 - persuasiveness, consistency and completeness of argumentation;
 - the ability to build arguments logically, to use facts, case materials, legal norms, doctrinal and other theoretical sources, arbitration practice to justify the position of the represented party;
 - usage of facts and materials of the case;
 - completeness of the analysis of the position of the second party to the dispute;
 - general structure and design of the memorandum;
 - the level of knowledge of legal terminology, knowledge and understanding of the institutions of international private law and international commercial arbitration;
 - the validity of positions on the issue of applicable law and the competence of arbitration, and on the merits;
 - the appropriate use of the papers of Professor M. G. Rosenberg.

EVALUATION OF PLEADINGS IN ORAL HEARINGS

72. The three arbitrators participating in the oral hearing evaluate the team's pleadings in the oral hearing. Each arbitrator gives each participant representing the team a score from 1 to 25 points (1-7 points – "unsatisfactory", 8-15 points – "satisfactory", 16-20 points – "good", 21-25 points – "excellent"). The score of the arbitrators with indication of the name of each student and the grade given to him, is recorded in the corresponding field of the score sheet.
73. The score of each team member for pleading in oral hearing is determined as the arithmetic average of the scores of the three arbitrators, and the total score of the team for pleading in oral hearing is determined as the sum of the scores of the two team

members who participated in the hearing. When determining the arithmetic average, the number is rounded to the second decimal place according to the mathematical rules for rounding numbers.

74. The total score of the team for pleading in oral hearings is defined as the sum of the scores for two hearings (as a Claimant and as a Respondent). The arbitrators do not announce the scores after the oral hearing.
75. The arbitrators are free to evaluate the pleadings in oral hearings and act on the basis of their inner conviction and independently from each other.
76. The following factors are considered while evaluating team pleadings in oral hearings:
 - time management;
 - culture of oral legal speech;
 - consistency of legal argumentation, harmony of speech;
 - persuasiveness and ability to present and substantiate a position;
 - answers to the questions of the arbitrators;
 - general behavior during the oral hearings;
 - knowledge of law;
 - knowledge of the circumstances of the case;
 - appropriate use of case law;
 - the appropriate use of doctrinal sources, particularly the papers of Professor M. G. Rosenberg.
77. At the end of the first (qualifying) round, teams are ranged by places from the first to the last, depending on the overall score of the team in descending order of the overall score. Total team score is the sum of the evaluation team for the preparation of the memoranda and the overall evaluation team for pleadings in oral hearings of the first (qualifying) round.
78. If two or more teams have equal overall score, the team with the best result for pleadings in oral hearings takes a higher place. At equality of the specified indicator the place of team is defined by results of an additional round of up to 20 min.
79. The scores given by the arbitrators for memoranda and pleadings in the oral hearings of the qualifying round, as well as the decisions of the arbitrators in the oral hearings of the quarterfinals, semifinals, and finals, are not subject to any appeal.

XI. DETERMINING THE RESULTS OF THE QUARTERFINAL, SEMIFINAL AND FINAL ROUNDS

80. In the quarterfinal, semifinal, final rounds, each team qualified for the corresponding round participates in one oral hearing. The winning team in the oral hearing is qualified for the next round, while the losing team is eliminated from the Competition.
81. The pairs of teams participating in the oral hearings of the quarterfinal round are determined by drawing lots. Teams' performance as Claimant or Respondent is determined by drawing lots.
82. Pairs of teams which participate in the oral hearings of the semifinal round are determined by the following rule: the team having the first semifinal number at the end of the preliminary round meets the team having the fourth semifinal number, the team having the second semifinal number at the end of the preliminary round meets the team having the third semifinal number. Teams' performance as Claimant or Respondent is determined by drawing lots.
83. In the final round, the team represents the position of the party opposite to the one it represented in the semifinal. If both teams meeting in the final oral hearing at the previous stage represented the position of the same party, their positions in the final round are determined by drawing lots.
84. Following the results of oral hearings of the quarterfinal, semifinal and final rounds, the arbitrators participating in the corresponding oral hearing shall determine the winning and losing team by voting (won – lost, without specifying the score in points). The winner is the team whose victory was supported by a simple majority of the arbitrators who participated in the corresponding oral hearing.
85. The Chairman of the respective panel of arbitrators shall announce the decision on the results of the oral hearings of semifinal rounds.
86. The memoranda prepared by the teams are not subject to evaluation in the quarterfinal, semifinal and final rounds. However, the arbitrators participating in the oral hearings of the respective round shall be familiar with the memoranda of the teams participating in those hearings and may consider their content and the reasonableness of derogating from them in determining the winner in a particular oral hearing.

XII. AWARDS

87. The winner of the Competition (first place) is the team that won the final. The second place is awarded to the team that reached the final. Two third places are awarded to the teams that reached the semifinals.
88. Experts from the Organizing Committee and arbitrators determine the winning team in the following nominations:
- “Best memorandum of the Claimant”;
 - “Best memorandum of the Respondent”;
 - “Knowers of the scientific heritage of M. G. Rosenberg”;
 - “Logic in legal thinking”;
 - “For the best justification of the competence of arbitral tribunal”.
89. Experts from the Organizing Committee and arbitrators determine the best of the participants of any of the teams participating in the Competition in the following nominations:
- “The best speaker for of the Claimant”;
 - “The best speaker for the Respondent”;
 - “Persuasive pleadings in oral hearings”.
90. The Organizing Committee established honorary nominations for teams and participants of the Contest were introduced:
- “The most stylish team of the Competition”;
 - “For self-sacrifice in representing the team's position”.
91. The Organizing Committee established honorary nominations for universities:
- “For the loyalty to the Competition”;
 - “Debutant of the Competition”;
 - “Best foreign team”.
92. If less than seven teams take part in the Russian, English, French or Chinese section, the following nominations are awarded in this section:
- “Competition Winner” (first place);
 - “Silver Prize” (second place);
 - “The best speaker is a representative of the plaintiff’s side”;
 - “The best speaker is a representative of the defendant’s side”;
 - “Plaintiff's Best Memorandum”;
 - “Defendant's Best Memorandum”.
93. By decision of the Organizing Committee, other nominations may be awarded.

94. Teams nominated for 1st, 2nd and 3rd places in the competition, by decision of the Organizing Committee, cannot be nominated for the following nominations: “Knowers of the scientific heritage of M. G. Rosenberg”, “Logic in legal thinking”, “For the best justification of the competence of arbitral tribunal”.
95. In accordance with the Admission Rules for Higher Professional Education Programs of the RFTA, winners and laureates of the Competition are given advantages while admission at the RFTA graduate and postgraduate programs.

XIII. LOGISTICS OF THE COMPETITION

96. For general questions of the logistics of the Competition, please contact the Executive Director of the Competition Irina. N. Lukyanova at: irinluk@bk.ru
97. Questions related to participation in the Competition in the relevant section should be sent to the official e-mail address vavtrosenberg@vavt.ru.
98. On issues of drawing up invitations, travel documents and documents on participation in the Competition, please contact the Organizing Committee.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Organizing Committee	vavtrosenberg@vavt.ru
<i>Scientific Director and founder of the Competition</i> Professor Nina G. Vilkova	vilkova.n.g@gmail.com
<i>Executive Director of the Competition</i> Irina. N. Lukyanova	irinluk@bk.ru

Russian Foreign Trade Academy
of the Ministry of Economic Development of the Russian Federation
© Russian Foreign Trade Academy, 2025
